**QUESTIONS FOR TNC:**

**Risk pools**

**· Formal and informal risk pools?**

GF ITQ

Whiting and non-whiting

Non-whiting - CA risk pool - Morro Bay, Half moon bay and TNC

hybrid in Ilwaco

started in 2011

CA- 10-12 vessels each year

4 in Fort Brigg

2 in Halfmoon

1 in Moss Landing

3-4 morro bay

Ilwaco - doesn’t know #, Lynn is manager

4

Fort Bragg and Morro Bay - 11 vessels in 2011

now has grown to include Half moon Bay in 2012 (informal in 2012, formal in 2013)

Big risk pool is in whiting - shoreside and mother ship

Vessels in Ilwaco

too many regional differences to make coast wide risk pool

2 risk pools

solution has worked in fishery

reduced bycatch

keeping them on water

spatial planning

collecting information

generally landing in home port

major fuel costs if they didn’t land it in home port

**· number of bottom trawl (non-hake) vessels participating per risk pool/port group**

**· how do the risk pools associate with port groups**

**Attitudes towards risk pools**

**· Attitudes towards risk pools among participants and outsiders**

**· What do they feel is working and what is not working**

**why did some drop out**

**do they go to their home port**

**Data sharing**

**· what raw data do you have that you could share with us?**

**· data sharing protocols for e-catch?**

strict data sharing rules

run their own analytics

any request goes through data pool participants

confidentiality rules

Oregon - larger boats near Astoria, bigger than many in California

high cost of monitoring

other costs - cost recovery TAC, buy back TAC, market side - prices they get at dock

cleaning up additional red tape to increase efficiency

electronic monitoring

Some boats came in and out of risk pool

forming - many people at table, EDF, TNC, PFMC, all pushed that risk pools are allowed and permitted

TNC had assets to put into it, science and technology, key operating components

TNC covered some risk and costs

continuing to operate with TNC as partner

came out of many meetings and discussions, NGO community

collaborative nature brings about efficiencies

organizations at community level that can go to management and have more of a voice

small scale fisheries, this kind of collective organization data collecting and managing in-house

use resources efficiently

TNC is divesting some quota share assets with goal of creating community organizations, to not have consolidation, get community invested in that resource, with the intent

community quota funds

**QUESTIONS FOR TNC:**

1. number of bottom trawl (non-hake) vessles participating \*\*

2. do you have data by gear?

3. gear expectations for participating on risk pools

4. links between risk pool participation and port or port groups

5. e-catch: reports/ aggregate info?

6. data sharing protocols for e-catch?

7. predictive maps..available?

8. amount of TAC in the risk pool for each species, and for each port group

9. number, size, horsepower of vessles

10. what raw data do you have that you could share with us?

- amount of TAC in risk pool for each species and what port they are going to (we have our 9 port groups)

11. how do the risk pools associate with port groups

12. revenue for risk pool, per port group (if they go to different port groups)

13. catch for risk pool, by species group (ideally species, but if you need to aggregate it by our groups that’s ok), per port group (if it applies)

14. suggested references/readings

15.